A B BERNSTEN **Triangulation** Integrating Life Insurance into the **Estate and Investment Plans** Thomas J. Pauloski, J.D. National Managing Director Wealth Planning and Analysis Group

secen provided to you for use in a private and confidential meeting to discuss a potential or existing investment-advisory of for public use or distribution beyond our private meeting. Bernstein does not provide tax, legal, or accounting advice-naces with professionals in those areas before making and decisions.

Bernstein does not provide tax, legal, or accounting advice. In considering the information contained in this presentation, you should independently verify all conclusions before implementing any strategy on your own behalf or on behalf of your client.

How Life Insurance Typically Is Integrated into the Estate and Investment Plans

Representative facts

- Married couple, \$20 million estate (current value), \$10.98 exclusion available (inflation-adjusted)
- Current estate tax liability = \$3.6 million (i.e., [\$20 million-\$10.98 million] x 40%)
- Control to the analysis of the analysis o
- Potential issues
- The solution is temporary
- Estate value may increase or decrease
 Applicable exclusion grows with inflation
 Circumstances (e.g., tax laws, state of domicile, spending) may change
- The proposal is actually *two* proposals
 Create and fund an irrevocable trust
- Invest all of the funding proceeds in life insurance. ...But would a blend of insurance and a capital-market portfolio be preferable?
- Failure to integrate the insurance concept with estate- and investment-planning strategies

Source: AB 6

1

Road Map

- The current environment: why now might be an ideal time to revisit how we think about life insurance
- Basic life insurance planning: focus on beneficiary's needs, not traditional rules of thumb based upon income replacement (e.g., 10 times after-tax earnings)
- The life insurance illustration: mining its contents and displaying the results
- The highest and best use of life insurance: integration with multigenerational estate and investment planning

Conclusion: life insurance planning dos and don'ts

() 003

The Current Environment:

Why Now Might Be an Ideal Time to Revisit How We Think About Life Insurance



















Basic Life Insurance Planning:

Focus on Beneficiary's Needs, Not Merely Replacing Lost Income















Insurance Gap Case-Study Assumptions

- Steve and Edie, aged 42 and 39, respectively, with two young children
- Retirement savings = \$300,000 (so far)
- Steve's expected annual salary = \$247,000, adjusted for inflation
- Steve intends to make maximum contributions to his 401(k) plan, with a 3% annual employer match
- Expected retirement age = 66
 Annual spending = \$100,000, adjusted for inflation
- Based upon this information, we expect Steve to be at or above his core capital requirement upon retirement at age 66,* but there is a problem . . . What if death intervenes?

Key research question: How much death benefit should Steve maintain?

"based on Bernsteins estimates of range of interns for applicable capital monitors own the applicable point of Data do not represent past performance and are not a promise of actual tuber results or a range of future results. See Appendix, helics on Wealth Forecasting, for datas. Care unity on neutral seables upon repeat. Science, 60 wealth the seables of the



















How Do I Know Whether My Policy Is "Sick" or "Healthy"?

If a Picture Paints a Thousand Words, Why Is an Illustration So Hard to Understand?

- What's the deal with life insurance illustrations?
- They are too long (14–17 pages is typical)
- The text tends to be poorly written (e.g., lots of jargon)
- Data are displayed in mind-numbing, tabular format; some information is hidden
- Assumptions may be unreasonable... and may not account for future changes (e.g., increases in policy expenses)
- Variable policies assume straight-line market returns
- What if, instead, we were to:
- Critically assess the assumptions upon which the illustration is based?
- Reduce the key information contained in the illustration to a single page?
- Display the information graphically, rather than in tables?
- Include a realistic estimate of life expectancy?
- Measure success or failure using metrics that clients can readily understand, rather than unfamiliar concepts like "internal rate of return"?

Source: AB









Pulling It All Together:

The Integration of Insurance, Estate, and Investment Planning



















*Stort an endoted a 21% US law, 71% US gen/h, 71% US diverded, 7% US gen/h endoted and endoted as 22.9% diverged international, and 75% emorphy matrixt: toodr an endoted as international term strengthetic term strengthetic term and the endoted as a strengthetic term and t

















Conclusion:

Dos and Don'ts of Life Insurance Planning Today

Life Insurance Don'ts

- **DON'T** base the amount of death benefit on rules of thumb (e.g., 10 times after-tax earnings)
- DON'T determine premiums based solely upon gift tax annual exclusions that are presently available
- DON'T base the amount of death benefit entirely on the amount of estate tax that would be owed if the insured were to die tomorrow
- DON'T limit life insurance to illiquid estates only; fully liquid families can benefit from owning some life insurance
- DON'T assume that term insurance is always the best answer; the insurance advisor is in the best position to assess the market and recommend an appropriate product or array of products to meet the need*
- *-biglet; d orans, to the effect of premium costs over time on family or beneficiary washty, as the case may be. A product that is too expensive will be expensed by modeling its cost case and a second or a second orange of the second orang

Life Insurance Dos

- DO use life insurance to hedge both estate and income tax risks
- DO use life insurance to supplement liquidity
- DO integrate insurance, estate, and investment planning; avoid the temptation to "silo-ize"
- DO base the amount of death benefit on expected needs (driven by spending) of the intended beneficiaries
- DO recognize that the true power of life insurance is its potential to deliver more capital to the beneficiaries when they need it most—when they are young
- DO recognize the complementary nature of life insurance: it provides an immediate potential benefit, whereas estate- and investment-planning benefits take time to build
- DO use estate and investment planning to shorten the *duration* of life insurance coverage; it's duration, not death benefit, that makes insurance expensive

Source: AB

Appendix

The Current Environment:

Additional Displays









Asset Type	Comments
Creator-Owned Copyrights, Trademarks, Patents, and Artwork	During the list of the creator of individual property and stream, the creator has a sen back is the stream. The stream is the creator of individual property and stream, the creator has a sen back is the stream. The stream is the creator, the opport, is included in the stream of receives a target up in backs to fair market value. The bondfatties is easily individual in a long-term capital-pairs and. The fair stream of the creator is the opport, and a stream of the stream of
Negative-Basis Commercial Real Property LP or LLC Interests	Overcore of partnership historics with a negative basic world recorptic long turns capital gains and ordinary income upon a taubilit transaction due to accelerated deprovement and a relaction the the partners' struct a debt. Upon double, incogathe basis is disturbated because the partners' turns can do the underlying property recoine a dise-up in basis (with a partnership election). For this purpose, "negative basis" means dobt in excess of tax basis; as a torkhical matter, more adjusted basis cannot be loss than array.
Artwork, Gold, and Other Collectibles	Artwork and gold (including gold ETF investments) are considered 'collectibles' under the Code, and they are subject to a 28% long-term capital-gains tax rate. Gains are also subject to the Medicare surcharge.
Low-Basis Stock	Capital asset subject to a 20% long-term capital-gains tax rate and the Medicare surcharge. The step-up in basis eliminates the gain.
Roth IRA Assets	With a Both IBA, the ordinary locome tax of a traditional IBA has essentially been propial Because the assorts in a Rom IBA will grave locome tax-for a distribution tax-form to the beneficiations: and will not be addieged on the Modaries auture). This is one of the beneficianties. As such, there assets are often includable in the beneficianties, and in the beneficiant is an addieged on the Modaries auture). This is not of the beneficianties. As such, these assets are often includable in the beneficianties of the decoder on energy.
High-Basis Stock	Capital asset subject to a 20% long-term capital-gains tax rate and the Medicare surcharge. Because the tax basis is high, very little gain is eliminated by the slep-up in basis.
Fixed Income	Most fleed-income investments are purchased at or near par and have very little appreciation potential above their basis. As such, very little gain is eliminate by the step-up in basis. A couple of exceptions to this rule include bonds purchased at a deep discount and iong-duration bonds in a failing interest-rate environment.
Cash	Basis of cash is always equal to its fair market value (face value).
Stocks at a Loss	Death results in a step-down in basis. The capital loss that the decedent could have recognized prior to death is eliminated and does not pass to the beneficiaries.
Variable Annuities	Payments are taxable as ordinary income and return of basis. The ordinary income portion is considered income in Respect of a Decedent (IBD). As such, on death, the baneficiaries continue to recognize the ordinary income portion of the payments, and there is no benefit to the step-up in basis.
Traditional IRA and Qualified Plan Assets	All exists in traditional IRRs and it apartiting parts are considered (10%) RD (pline than nondexable contributions to RRs). As such, have is no benefit to a long up of basics the basics of the basics are thready on any structure in the basics of the basics are thready on any structure in the basics of the basics are thready on any structure interval in the basic of the basics are thready on any structure interval in the basic of the basics are thready on any structure interval interv
ernstein does not provide	tax, legal, or accounting advice. Please consult professionals in those areas before making any decisions.



Lifetime Wealth Transfer Case Study

Gift-or-Hold Case-Study Assumptions

Potential donor, a widow aged 65, with \$6.25 million liquid estate

\$2 million of highly appreciated ABC stock
Remaining assets invested 60% in stocks, 40% in bonds*

Considering a gift to her child of the ABC stock ... but concerned about losing a step-up in basis

Key research question: How do the donor's and donee's tax domiciles affect the likely outcome?

**Stack are enabled at 21% US web, 71% US granth. 71% US described. 74% US web, and relation 22% developed international, and 25% enoughge maker. the encoded as informediatis-term municipal boots. Speeding is accurate to be other by pension income; therefore, no-spending has been modeled in the study Source AB









Buy-Sell Agreement Case Study

Assumptions

This presentation has been prepared for John to help quantify certain life insurance and capital market alternatives to the whole life insurance proposal that he recently received. In each alternative case, excess funds not needed to fund the life insurance policy are instead invested in a portfolio of marketable securities. Each insurance policy shown in this analysis has an initial death benefit of \$10 million.*

In conjunction with this analysis, we requested and received anonymous quotes from a reputable insurance advisor for the following alternatives to whole life insurance:

- 20-year term
 30-year term
 Universal life

AGE / RESIDENCE John is 36 years old and a resident of Chicago, Illinois.

TAX RATE For purposes of this analysis, we assume that assets invested in a capital market portfolio are subject to top marginal federal and Illinois state income tax rates.

ASSETS For purposes of this analysis, we assume that portfolio assets are invested 80% in return-seeking asset classes and 20% in risk-mitigating asset classes. Throughout, we refer to this diversified portfolio as the *80/20 portfolio.***

**D behaviors Madrid Bastration Provide Bay Profession Restrongen on the first program of the independence and the development of the period period restrongen on the period p BERNSTEIN

Policy Type	Annual Premium*	Funding Period
Whole Life	\$200,000	13 years
20-year term	\$4,160	20 years
30-year term	\$8,066	30 years
Universal Life	\$70,320	13 years



Assumptions: "Crossover" Analysis

In this section of the analysis, we modeled two scenarios:

 Scenario A:
 Whole Life Insurance

 Invest \$200,000, after-tax, per year for 13 years (through 2028) in a whole life insurance policy.

 We graphically depict the death benefit shown in the whole life illustration.

Scenario B: 80/20 Portfolio / No Insurance Invest \$200,000, after-tax, per year for 13 years (through 2028) in the 80/20 portfolio. We graphically depict the after-tax value of that portfolio over time.

Research Question: How long does John have to live before the whole life insurance policy becomes a 'bad' investment (i.e., at what point does the value of the 80/20 portfolio first become likely to exceed the life insurance death benefit) in "typical" (50th percentile) markets?

BURNST





Assumptions: Buy 20-Year Term and Invest the Difference

In this section of the analysis, we model two scenarios:

- Scenario A: Whole Life Insurance Invest \$200,000, after-tax, per year for 13 years (through 2028) in a whole life insurance policy.
- Invest \$200,000, after-tax, per year for the following 7 years (2029 through 2035) in the 80/20 portfolio
- We graphically depict the death benefit shown in the whole life illustration <u>plus</u> the after-tax value of the 80/20 portfolio.

- Scenario B: 20-Year Term Invest \$4,160, after-tax, per year for 20 years (through 2035) in a 20-year term life insurance policy. Invest \$195,840, after-tax, per year for 20 years (through 2035) in the 80/20 portfolio.
- We graphically depict the death benefit shown in the 20-year term illustration <u>plus</u> the after-tax value of the 80/20 portfolio.
 After 20 years, the term policy lapses, leaving only the after-tax value in the 80/20 portfolio.
- <u>Research Question</u>: Which scenario is likely to provide the greatest after-tax benefits to John's family over time in "typical" (50^{th} percentile) markets?

B BERNST



Assumptions: Buy <u>30</u>-Year Term and Invest the Difference

In this section of the analysis, we model two scenarios:

- Scenario A: Whole Life Insurance Invest \$200,000, after-tax, per year for 13 years (through 2028) in a whole life insurance
- policy. Invest \$200,000, after-tax, per year for the following 17 years (2029 through 2045) in the
- We graphically depict the death benefit shown in the whole life illustration <u>plus</u> the after-tax value of the 80/20 portfolio.

- Scenario B: 30-Year Term

 Invest \$8,066, after-tax, per year for 30 years (through 2045) in a 30-year term life insurance policy.

 Invest \$191,934, after-tax, per year for 30 years (through 2045) in the 80/20 portfolio.

 We graphically depict the death benefit shown in the 30-year term illustration <u>plus</u> the after-tax value of the 80/20 portfolio.

 After 30 years, the term policy lapses, leaving only the after-tax value in the 80/20 portfolio.
- Research Question: Which scenario is likely to provide the greatest after-tax benefits to

In "typical" (50th percentile) markets?

6









Assumptions: Buy Universal Life and Invest the Difference

In this section of the analysis, we model two scenarios:

- Scenario A: Whole Life Insurance Invest \$200,000, after-tax, per year for 13 years (through 2028) in a whole life insurance Invest \$200,000, after-tax, per year for 13 years (through 2028) in a whole life insurance policy.
 Invest \$200,000, after-tax, per year for the following 17 years (2029 through 2045) in the
- 80/20 portfolio.
- We graphically depict the death benefit shown in the whole life illustration <u>plus</u> the after-tax value of the 80/20 portfolio.

- Scenario B: Universal Life (sometimes referred to as "UL") Invest \$70,320, after-tax, per year for 13 years (through 2028) in a universal life insurance
- Invest \$70,320, artet-tax, per year for 13 years (through 2028), and \$200,000, after-tax, per year for 13 years (through 2028), and \$200,000, after-tax, per year for the following 17 years (2029 through 2045) in the 80/20 portfolio.
 We graphically depict the death benefit shown in the universal life illustration *plus* the after-tax value of the 80/20 portfolio.

Research Question: Which scenario is likely to provide the greatest after-tax benefits to John's family over time
In typical' (50th percentile) markets?
In 'poor' (90th percentile) markets?

















Bernstein Recommendations

The recommendation that John acquire whole life insurance is not entirely without merit, but he needs to consider the relative benefits and costs of that proposal

- Whole life insurance is expensive relative to term and universal life insurance.
 That additional expense carries an 'opportunity cost' that can be recovered, in part, by instead buying term / universal life (rather than whole life) and investing the difference.
 John might include a modest dose of whole life in his insurance portfolio if he is concerned universal life (rather than whole life) and investing the difference.
- about "
 Sustained poor performance in the capital markets (such that whole life actually "outperforms" the broader markets); or
- The possibility that he may live beyond the lapse dates of any term or universal life policies that he is considering.
- How much death benefit does John actually need?
- The life insurance proposal seems to assume that John should acquire \$10 million of death benefit—without necessarily explaining how that amount was determined or whether that amount may change over time.
- Bernstein recently published research showing how we use our quantitative tools to help investors like John 'right size' the amount of insurance he acquires based upon the projected needs of his beneficiaries. We can customize our research to his family's needs, if that would be of interest to him.

(d) ==

Notes on Wealth Forecasting System

1. Purpose and Description of Wealth Forecasting System³⁰ Bernstein Wealth Forecasting System is designed to axials investors in making their long-term investment decisions as to their indefined and the system is an experimental system in the system investment decision as to their indefined and the system is an experimental system in the system is an experimental system between the system is a system in order system is a system in the system is an experimental stack on houses such as when in order, what the/her can's how stream is likely to be, whether his/her portfolice on beal his/her and the system is a system in order, what the/her can's how stream is likely to be, whether his/her portfolice on beal his/her and (2 A Probability Dehinkution of Outcome. Based on the such invested paramet to be stated asset allocation, 90% of the booster, a such cancer of the observation. Stated on the such invested paramet to be stated asset allocation, 90% of the observer, automes such the streng are are canceled to scccar 11% of the time. Thus, here again a streng of the streng are also allocation of the streng are also allocation of the streng are also and other cafers. A such as a streng of the streng are also allocation of the streng are also allocations. The streng are also allocations and a protein of streng of hashed in the streng of an expected in carce. The streng are also allocations are also and approximate asset and a protein of strang failure results, here streng are also are observed. The streng are also allocations are also and a protein of strang failure results, the strang are are observed are protein strength or canceled and and and the cafestal shall be also as a protein of strang failure results. The strange of future results are also as a stream of strange of the strange of the protein strenge or allocations are also and and as a protein of strange of the strange of the stream of stream strenge or allocations are also and as a stream of stream strenge or allocations are also and as a stream of strea arkets, as well as of the error

2. Reference Variables 1. Construction (Section 2007) and the section of the biology excision, traditional (BA, 4101), 0.2033), target or bink (BA,4101), One of the significant and 1. Construction (Section 2007) and Section 2007 (Section 2007), and Section 2007) and Section 2007 (Section 2007), and common 2007 (Section 2007) and Section 2007 (Section 2007), and Section 2007 (Section 2007)

flows the p agair year mari ficient, an optimization program is run to trade off the misr eral, the portfolio is expected to be maintained reasonably , in later n the top the 1 . In ad is with an asset mix consisting entirely of municipal bonds in his/her personal portfolio sets are spent, the mix between stocks and bonds will diverge from targets. We put pri esuit in an allocation to taxable bonds in the retirement portfolio as the necessarial accele mary weight

6

<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text>

Notes on Wealth Forecasting System

7 Technical Assumption

7. Torbridge a knowledge.

8. Tax implications Before making any assol adication decision, an investor should review with his/her tax advoor the tax labelities incurred by the different investment alternatives presented herein, including any capital gains, that would be incurred as a recall of liquidating all or part of hu/her portiols, relinented plane distributions, investments merceinations with professionals in those areas before making any decision.

A taskate Bernotin Weahl Forcase sharp, See the assumptions for the income tar rates of investors in the case sharp, See the assumptions in the case sharp including loandate. The fielder a income tar rate is learnable in the same sharp and the tasket or an investor' rate calculated tasket upon rate is 218%. Federal tar rates are blender with applicable state tar rates by including, among other things, federal detactions for state income and capital-gains taxes. The state tar rate percently expension is a field of the tar percent and the same and capital-gains taxes. The state tar rate percently expension is a field of the tar rates by including.

tables. The stands takes grade any properties destribution is contrained on the synalightant of a regularization of the synalightant of a regularization of the synalightant of the synali

At the overview's dash as all applicable weath's there for taxes are guid. Listing to a court or y adultation is such the content ratio and y use stiffed for gift in a such provider of dash of the propert of face dash these for the based or the under variable of most hange structures the subscription and the content tax is the subscription and the content tax is the subscription and taxes for the subscription and the s

1.0	_	
MST.	73M	-
	INIST	INSTEIN

Capital-Market Projections ("Basic" and "Integration" Case Studies)					
	Median 40-Year Growth Rate	Mean Annual Return	Mean Annual Income	One-Year Volatility	40-Year Annual Equivalent Volatility
IntTerm Diversified Municipals	3.7%	4.0%	3.8%	4.2%	10.0%
intTerm Taxables	4.9%	5.3%	6.4%	5.1%	11.4%
US Diversified	7.7%	9.5%	3.3%	20.5%	24.1%
US Value	8.0%	9.7%	3.9%	20.096	23.5%
US Growth	7.4%	9.6%	2.7%	22.8%	25.6%
US Small-/Mid-Cap	7.8%	10.1%	2.9%	23.4%	26.1%
Developed International	8.4%	10.7%	3.6%	22.7%	24.8%
Emerging Markets	6.6%	10.8%	4.6%	32.8%	31.6%
Inflation	3.1%	3.5%	n/a	1.396	13.5%
	Median 25-Year Growth Rate	Mean Annual Return	Mean Annual Income	One-Year Volatility	25-Year Annual Equivalent Volatility
IntTerm Diversified Municipals	3.1%	3.3%	3.3%	4.2%	6.7%
IntTerm Taxables	3.9%	4.2%	5.5%	5.1%	7.1%
US Diversified	7.0%	8.7%	2.8%	20.5%	18.3%
US Value	7.3%	8.9%	3.4%	20.0%	18.0%
US Growth	6.7%	8.7%	2.3%	22.8%	19.8%
US Small-/Mid-Cap	7.2%	9.3%	2.4%	23.4%	20.9%
Developed International	7.9%	10.1%	3.4%	22.7%	19.6%
Emerging Markets	6.0%	10.0%	3.8%	32.8%	27.9%
Inflation	2.7%	3.1%	n/a	1.396	10.5%



	Median 40-Year Growth Rate	Mean Annual Return	Mean Annual Income	One-Year Volatility	30-Year Annual Equivalent Volatility
Equivalents	2.8%	3.1%	3.1%	0.0%	8.9%
erm Diversified Municipal Bonds	3.1%	3.3%	3.1%	3.3%	7.1%
rsified	7.7%	9.3%	2.9%	16.3%	18.8%
a	8.0%	9.5%	3.4%	15.8%	18.5%
th	7.5%	9.4%	2.3%	18.2%	20.1%
d International	8.4%	10.4%	3.4%	18.0%	19.5%
Markets	6.5%	10.4%	3.8%	25.8%	27.0%
/Mid-Cap	7.9%	10.0%	2.5%	18.6%	21.3%
	3.0%	3.3%	n/a	1.0%	9.6%
ock	3.6%	9.3%	2.0%	34.6%	34.6%



BERNSTEIN